ET420197461US

#3

PATENTS • DESIGNS • The Patent Office • COPYRIGHT • TRADE MARKS

INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

The Patent Office
Concept House
Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP10 8QQ

JC930 U.S. PTO
10/062368
01/31/02

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation and Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein together with the tatement of inventorship and of right to grant of a Patent (Form 7/77), which was ubsequently filed.

ccordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named is certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-r tration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "p ic limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name wi hich it is so re-registered.

In a dance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, C. or PLC.

Re-reg ation under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjec e company to certain additional company law rules.

CERTIFIED COPY OF PRIORITY DOCUMENT

Signed

Dated 26 NOV 2001

The Patent Office

1/77

Patents Act 1977
Rule 16

Request for grant of a patent

-5 OCT 2001

The Patent Office
Concept House
Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales NP10 8QQ

1.	Your reference	GB920010046GB1
2.	Patent application number *(The Patent Office will fill in this part)*	**0123946.6**
3.	Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant *(underline all surnames)*	INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION Armonk New York 10504 United States of America
	Patents ADP number *(if you know it)*	519637001
	If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation	State of New York United States of America
4.	Title of the invention	IMAGE PROCESSING METHOD, SYSTEM, COMPUTER PROGRAM AND DATA CARRIER
5.	Name of your agent *(if you have one)*	M J Jennings
	"Address for Service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondance should be sent *(including the postcode)*	IBM United Kingdom Limited Intellectual Property Department Hursley Park Winchester Hampshire S021 2JN
	Patents ADP number *(if you know it)*	919005

		Country	Priority App No *(if you know it)*	Date of filing *(day/month/year)*
6.	If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and *(if you know it)* the or each application number			

		No of earlier application	Date of filing *(day/month/year)*
7.	If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date or the earlier application		

8. Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? *(Answer 'Yes' if:*
a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or
b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or
c) any named applicant is a corporate body.)

Yes

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form. Do not count copies of the same document

Continuation sheets of this form	
Description	12
Claim(s)	4
Abstract	1
Drawing(s)	1

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

Priority documents	
Translations of priority documents	
Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent *(Patents Form 7/77)*	2
Request for preliminary examination and search *(Patents Form 9/77)*	
Request for substantive examination *(Patents Form 10/77)*	
Any other documents *(please specify)*	

11. I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application

Signature

3 October 2001
Date

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom

M J Jennings
01962 816725

The Patent Office

7/77

Patents Act 1977
Rule 15

-5 OCT 2001

THE PATENT OFFICE
J
-5 OCT 2001
NEWPORT

Statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent

The Patent Office
Concept House
Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales NP10 8QQ

1.	Your reference	GB920010046GB1
2.	Patent application number *(if you know it)*	**0123946.6**
3.	Full name of the or of each applicant	INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION
4.	Title of invention	IMAGE PROCESSING METHOD, SYSTEM, COMPUTER PROGRAM AND DATA CARRIER
5.	State how the applicant(s) derived the right from the inventor(s) to be granted a patent	By employment and agreement
6.	How many, if any, additional Patents Forms 7/77 are attached to this form?	
7.		I/We believe that the person(s) named over the page (and on any extra copies of this form) is/are the inventor(s) of the invention which the above patent application relates to.
		Signature 3 October 2001 Date
8.	Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom	M J Jennings Tel: 01962 816725

Enter the full names, addresses and postcodes of the inventors in the boxes and underline the surnames

Stephen James TODD
(UK resident)
c/o IBM United Kingdom Limited
Intellectual Property Law
Hursley Park
Winchester
Hampshire SO21 2JN
England

Patents ADP number *(if known)* 4283701002

Patents ADP number *(if known)*

If there are more than three inventors, please write their names and addresses on the back of another Patents Form 7/77 and attach it to this form

Patents ADP number *(if known)*

REMINDER

Have you signed the form?

IMAGE PROCESSING METHOD, SYSTEM, COMPUTER PROGRAM AND DATA CARRIER

Field of the Invention

This invention relates to image processing, and particularly but not exclusively to the ability to perform quickly a simple analysis of certain image features.

Background of the Invention

In the field of this invention it is known that there are many image segmentation (the identification and mapping of image segments) techniques already in use, for example in the fields of medical imaging and security applications. Typically an identified segment relates to a portion of an image which is of particular significance (such as a vehicle or person in the case of security applications). Segmentation algorithms (and related edge detection algorithms) are well known in the art.

However, these known approaches have the disadvantage that the segmentation algorithms are complex and generally require a large amount of computation time. It will be appreciated that where real-time input is taken from a moving image, the speed of segmentation is critical. Also, the result typically shows the different segments of the image. However in some applications only a statistical summary of the segment information is required, and therefore more data is provided than is actually required, which wastes computation time. Furthermore, in those applications which need only summary information, extracting this from a full segmentation is an additional computational step.

An example of an application which uses summary segmentation is a computer input device. A camera captures an image input, for example that of fingers pressing on a screen. This is used to generate summary information about the location, orientation and size (relating to pressure) of the finger marks. These are then used to control computer applications, such as computer art or music.

A need therefore exists for an image processing method, system, computer program and data carrier wherein the abovementioned disadvantages may be alleviated.

Statement of Invention

In accordance with a first aspect of the present invention there is provided a method of image processing as claimed in claim 1.

In accordance with a second aspect of the present invention there is provided an image processing system as claimed in claim 5.

In accordance with a third aspect of the present invention there is provided a computer program for processing an image as claimed in claim 6.

In accordance with a fourth aspect of the present invention there is provided a data carrier as claimed in claim 7.

The processing arrangement preferably determines whether each pixel containing image information of significance has at least one contiguous pixel assigned to a segment, in order to determine to which of the at least one segment the pixel shall be assigned.

Preferably at least two segments are selectively merged to form a single segment in dependence upon whether one pixel containing image information of significance is contiguous with at least two pixels each assigned to a different one of the at least two segments.

The segment information of the at least one contiguous assigned pixel is preferably determined using a buffer register which stores segment information for the pixels of the previous line. Preferably the at least one contiguous assigned pixel is above a pixel in the array. Alternatively the at least one contiguous assigned pixel is to the left of a pixel in the array.

Preferably a register is kept of the at least one image segment, the register including cumulative pixel value information and segment location information regarding the at least one segment.

Preferably the register is further arranged to include x-axis and y-axis cumulative coordinate values of the at least one segment. The x-axis and y-axis cumulative coordinate values preferably include x-axis*x-axis, x-axis*y-axis, and y-axis*y-axis summation values.

Preferably the addition of a pixel to one of the at least one segment includes the assimilation of coordinate values for the pixel into the registers for the one of the at least one segment.

Preferably the at least one segment comprises at least two segments, and the merging of two of the at least two segments includes the assimilation of corresponding coordinate values for the two of the at least two segments into the coordinate values of a single merged segment.

Preferably the summation information and location information regarding the at least one segment are used after a complete scan to compute the centre and size of the at least one segment.

The additional coordinate values are preferably used to compute shape and orientation approximations for the at least one segment. Preferably the image represents human computer input.

In this way, a simple segmentation algorithm is provided which provides a statistical summary of image segments and which requires a relatively short computation time, without an additional computational step. Therefore it is particularly advantageous in applications where summary segment information is required from a real-time moving image.

~~Brief Description of the Drawings~~

One single scan image segmentation and summarisation incorporating the present invention will now be described, by way of example only, with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

FIG. 1 shows an illustrative flow diagram of a portion of an image processing method in accordance with the invention; and

FIGs. 2, 3 and 4 show example pixel arrays of segments illustrating the image processing method shown partially in FIG. 1.

Description of a Preferred Embodiment

Referring to FIG. 1, there is shown an illustrative flow diagram of an image processing algorithm forming part of a larger image processing program (not shown). The algorithm and program may be stored in the memory of a computer system or may be recorded on a data carrier, preferably a machine-readable recording medium such as, for example, optical or magnetic media. Example program code illustrating the invention is provided in Appendix 1 below. The program is designed to identify segments in an image and to summarise the size, position and orientation of each segment. Image segments relate to portions of the image which are of particular interest or significance to the user or application using the image, as compared to the 'background' of the image which is of less significance. In the case of security applications for example, the image may depict vehicles or individuals, and the location of these in the image constitute the image segments.

The algorithm depicted in FIG. 1 operates on one line of pixels in an array of pixels which constitute the image; the program executes the algorithm for each line of the image, and processes data generated by the algorithm in a manner to be further described below.

At the start of the algorithm, (box 10 of FIG. 1) the scanning of a new line of the image commences. At box 20, the next pixel (in the first iteration this is the first pixel) is scanned. Then at box 30, a simple bi-level pixel level identification is performed: 0 (black, not set) background, 1 (white, set) foreground. It will be appreciated that this may also operate with simple extensions to multi-colour segmentation. In this way for each pixel a data result of 'set' (1) or 'not set' (0) is recorded in dependence upon the pixel light/colour level.

~~If the pixel in question is not set,~~ this indicates that the pixel is not part of any segment; therefore the algorithm proceeds to box 90, where if there are no more pixels in the line, the algorithm finishes (box 100). Otherwise, the algorithm proceeds to the next pixel (box 20) and the above process is repeated for the second pixel in the line, and so on until the end of the line is reached.

If the pixel in question is 'set', this indicates that it is part of a segment. It is then necessary to determine whether the previous pixel in the line is also 'set' (box 40). This information is stored in an array 'LASTLINE', which stores a segment number for each pixel in the current line before the current scan point (those pixels already scanned), and above the current line from the current scan point onwards. In other words, during a scan the elements in LASTLINE before the x-axis value of the current scan point refer to the line currently being scanned. In particular, at a given x-axis value X, LASTLINE[X-1] refers to the pixel immediately to the left of the current pixel, whereas LASTLINE[X] refers to the pixel immediately above the current pixel. The elements from the x-axis value of the current scan point onwards refer to the previous line. This means that the array LASTLINE need only have as many elements as there are pixels in the X-axis of the array.

A value of 0 in LASTLINE is taken to indicate 'background' or 'no segment', and no statistics are kept. Before the first scan line is processed, LASTLINE is initialised to all 0s.

Accumulated statistical information for segments is stored in inertia arrays described below. Each array holds one array element for each segment, indexed by segment number.

To allow for the merging of segments, the algorithm holds a register array BASESEG. This contains a value for each segment created, initially the number of the segment itself. Where two segments (S1 and S2) are merged, the inertia information for the two segments are assimilated into the inertia information for the first segment (S1), and the inertia information for the second segment (S2) is no longer used. BASESEG[S2] is set to S1. A base segment is identified by BASESEG[SEG]==SEG.

From box 40, if the pixel immediately before this pixel is set, (as determined using LASTLINE[X-1]) the new pixel will be part of the same segment as the previous pixel (CURSEG=BASESEG[LASTLINE[X]), (box 50). If the pixel immediately before this pixel is not set, a new segment is initiated (box 60). In either case, the segment is recorded in CURSEG.

In this way the algorithm scans the run of set pixels in the given scan line starting at X. For each pixel, inertia information (to be further described below) for the current segment is updated.

Referring now to box 70, for each pixel, the algorithm checks (using LASTLINE[X] and CURSEG) if the pixel immediately above IS set, but IS NOT in the same segment. If both of these conditions are met, the two segments numbered LASTLINE[X] and CURSEG are merged (box 80). In this way the algorithm detects that two partially collected segments are actually a single segment. The two segments are combined adding the inertial information (to be further described below) for each segment.

There is also a possibility of multiple merges, where a previously merged segment may be subject to a further merge. Suppose a segment (S3) was previously based on S2, as a result of a previous merge of S2 and S3. When S2 is merged into S1, and becomes based on S1, S3 must also be merged into S1. That is, for each segment S:-

```
IF BASESEG[S] == S2 THEN BASESEG[S] = S1
```

As the algorithm proceeds, the inertia arrays accumulate a set of 'inertial' values for each segment detected so far. In the preferred embodiment, these values are:

- n, the number of pixels in the segment;
- sx, the sum of the x-coordinate values (the numerical sum of the x-coordinate value for each pixel of the segment);
- sy, the sum of the y-coordinate values (the numerical sum of the y-coordinate value for each pixel of the segment);
- sxx, the sum of the squares of the x-coordinate values (see above);

- syy, the sum of the squares of the y-coordinate values (see above); and
- sxy, the sum of the products of the x- and y-coordinate values for the pixels in the segment (see above).

For each new pixel assigned to a segment, the information pertaining to that pixel must be assimilated into the inertial values for that segment. The summation values are therefore recalculated taking into account the coordinate information of the new pixel.

Referring to box 85, once processing of a pixel is complete (including any necessary inertia value accumulation and segment creation and merging as appropriate), the segment number (CURSEG) for that pixel is recorded (in LASTLINE[X]) for future use.

When all scan lines are complete, there may be many allocated segments. Some are no longer of interest, having been merged into other segments. Thus a scan is made of allocated segments, searching for base segments (BASESEG[SEG]==SEG). It is also possible for the program to be arranged to discard segments which are determined during post-scan analysis to be very small (fewer than a user-chosen threshold number of pixels).

Referring to FIGs. 2 to 4, three scan examples are shown, which indicate the segment allocated to each pixel as it is encountered during a scan. FIG. 2 shows a segment 1 involving a simple scan and no merging. Each set pixel is identified as being part of segment 1 as it is scanned.

FIG. 3 shows a segment 2 involving a merge operation. The third scan line finds the pixel marked 3, and does not recognise this as part of segment 2. However, at the point marked !, the algorithm detects that segment 3 is actually part of segment 2. The merge is made at that time (the ! will actually be recorded as 2 in LASTLINE).

FIG. 4 shows a number of set pixels which are all eventually subsumed into segment 1. The numbers indicate the segment originally assigned to each point as it is encountered in the scan, and as saved in LASTLINE.

At the end of the first scan line, BASESEG={1,?,?}.
At the end of the second scan line, BASESEG={1,2,?}.
Just before point '+', BASESEG={1,2,3}.
At point '+', segment 3 is merged with segment 2, BASESEG={1,2,2}.

At point '#', segment 2 is merged with segment 1. The basic merge rule sets BASESEG={1,1,2}. The extra rule to allow for multiple merges sets BASESEG={1,1,1}.

Therefore, at point '?', the pixel is immediately identified as part of segment 1.

It will be appreciated that an important advantage of the present invention is that the inertial information is linear, and that it can therefore be collected using simple accumulator registers for each segment. In this way it is possible to identify segments in an image and to summarise the size, shape, position and orientation of each segment very quickly. At the end of the single pass, this summary information is provided directly. One example of an application for this summary information is the use of the 'running total' values for each segment as 'best fit ellipse' summary values when the scan is complete. Source code illustrating this application is provided in Appendix 2 below.

It will be understood that the single scan image segmentation and summarisation described above provides the following advantages:

- it provides a significant speed advantage in comparison to existing processing methods;
- it produces summary information without the need for further processing steps.

It will be appreciated that alternative embodiments to that described above are possible. For example the values may be weighted by a 'strength' of each pixel, defined in an application dependent manner. This use of weightings can be used to good advantage when processing a grey scale image where a threshold value T is used to determine whether a particular pixel of intensity v is an 'active' pixel that may contribute to a segment (v>T). To reduce the criticality of the choice of T, we may introduce a range above T (from T to T+R) in which pixels only have a limited contribution. Pixels with intensity value v>T+R are given a full weight w=1. Pixels with intensity values in the range T to T+R are given a weight w=(v-T)/R. These weights are used in accumulation of inertia values, for example n[curseg]+=w; and sx[curseg]+=w*x.

Furthermore, in the preferred embodiment described above, segmentation is defined by left/right/over/under adjacency, but NOT diagonal adjacency. However diagonal adjacency may be permitted to force the merging of segments.

The algorithm may also include a threshold process for a multi-level image in order to define 0 or one background, and 1 or more foreground segments. Additionally the algorithm may permit several different segment types (e.g. black(background), white, red, green and blue).

The program and its algorithm described above finds the summaries for the segments, but does not leave a record for the segments themselves. However, this may be provided by either recording an image sized array for the segment assigned, or by adding a second scan, which replaces the segment assigned to each pixel by the base segment value

```
PIXEL(x,y) = BASESEG(PIXEL(x,y))
```

Finally, it will be appreciated that the precise methodology of the algorithm may vary from that described above whilst substantially maintaining the same function and results. For example, the method described above of assigning a segment index to each pixel upon identification and then, followed by a comparison of segment assignments of the contiguous pixel to the left and then the contiguous pixel above could be performed using a number of different permutations.

Furthermore, the determination of summary values using x-axis and y-axis cumulative coordinate values of the pixels may use an alternative method to the summation method described above and shown below in Appendix 2.

Appendix 1

The following is sample code, which has been implemented to incorporate the invention:

```
void MergeSegs(UINT to, UINT from) {
   UINT i;

   // accumulate into TO
   stats[to].n += stats[from].n;
   stats[to].sx += stats[from].sx;
   stats[to].sy += stats[from].sy;
   stats[to].sxx += stats[from].sxx;
   stats[to].syy += stats[from].syy;
   stats[to].sxy += stats[from].sxy;

   // clear from (just in case of reuse)
   stats[from].n = 0;
   stats[from].sx = 0;
   stats[from].sy = 0;
   stats[from].sxx = 0;
   stats[from].syy = 0;
   stats[from].sxy = 0;

   // accomodate cumulative merges
   for (i=1; i<=lastusedseg; i++) {
      if (baseSeg[i] == from) baseSeg[i] = to;
   }

} // mergetstats

#define NOTNEW 0xffffffff
UINT thresh = 200; // threshold value to count as 'white'
void MakeSegs(char *bits, UINT H, UINT W) {
   UINT register x;
   UINT y,k=0,n=0;
   UINT lastline[1000]; // remember values on last line
   unsigned char register *pos;

   lastusedseg = 0; // last used segment numbner

   // first pass, set up lastline
   for(x=0; x<W; x++) {
      lastline[x] = 0;
   }


   // now start scan for real
   memset(&stats, 0, sizeof(stats));
   memset(&baseSeg, 0, sizeof(baseSeg));
   pos = bits;
```

```
for(y=0; y<H; y++) {
  for(x=0; x<W; x++) {   //  ...20a..., ...90a...
    // heart of algorithm, found a new segment
    if (*pos >= thresh) { //  ...30a...
      UINT startseg;  // x where new segment started, -1 (NOTNEW) if not
      UINT startover;  // value of overhead where segment started
      UINT curseg;  // current seg

      // allocate a new segment if necessary
      startover = lastline[x];
      if (startover) {              // optimize ...60(part1)... / ...80...
        curseg = baseSeg[startover];  // use overhead seg immediately
        startseg = NOTNEW;
      } else {
        lastusedseg++;  // allocate new segment  ...60(part1)...
        if (lastusedseg >= NSEGS-10)
          goto endup;  // if room
        curseg = lastusedseg;  // use new segment
        baseSeg[curseg] = curseg;  // ...60(part2)... set it up to look real
        startseg = x;
      }

      for(x=x; *pos >= thresh; x++) {  // scan region ... 20b ..., ...30b...
        UINT over;  // value of pixel over
        if (x == W) goto endscan;  // end of line ...90b...
        over = lastline[x];
        if (over != 0 && baseSeg[over] != curseg) {  // segment to merge
          MergeSegs(baseSeg[over], curseg);        // ...70... Yes

          // reuse the segment number it it was just a temporary one
          if (startseg != NOTNEW) {  // (optimization not in flow chart)
            UINT xx;
            _ASSERTE(curseg == lastusedseg);
            // remove reference to curseg in previous pixels
            for(xx = startseg; xx < x; xx++) {
              _ASSERTE (lastline[xx] == lastusedseg);
              lastline[xx] = over;
            } // scan back removing need for new segment
            // and remove curseg
            lastusedseg--;
            _ASSERTE(lastusedseg >= 1);
            startseg = NOTNEW;
          }

          curseg = baseSeg[over];
        } // segment to merge

        // add to correct stats ...60(part3)...
        stats[curseg].n++;
        stats[curseg].sx += x;
        stats[curseg].sy += y;
        stats[curseg].sxx += x*x;
```

```
          stats[curseg].sxy += x*y;
          stats[curseg].syy += y*y;

          pos++;                    // ...20b...
          lastline[x] = curseg;     // ...85b...

        } // scan to end of set pixels
      } // found first set pixel of run
      pos++;                        // ...20a...
      lastline[x] = 0;              // ...85a...
endscan: {}
    } // scan x
  } // scan y

endup: {} // skip here if run out of segment space

} // makesegs.
```

Appendix 2

The following is sample code which calculates the 'best fit' ellipse (use of inertia information for each segment at scan completion):

```
    // code to calculate 'best fit' ellipse for segment seg
    // (this is standard geometric code)

    // To compute from accumulated register values n, sx, sy, sxx, sxy,
syy
    //
    // n: number of pixels
    // (x,y): centre point
    // a, b: lengths of long and short axis of ellipse
    // s, c: sine and cosine values to give orientation of ellipse

    // extract summary information from segment
    x = sts.sx / n;     // (x,y) is centre of ellipse
    y = sts.sy / n;
    xx = sts.sxx / n - x*x;
    yy = sts.syy / n - y*y;
    xy = sts.sxy / n - x*y;

    det = xx*yy - xy*xy;
    trace = xx + yy;
    aa = (trace + sqrt(trace*trace - 4*det)) / 2;
    bb = (trace - sqrt(trace*trace - 4*det)) / 2;
    a = sqrt(aa);        // a is length of major (longer) axis
    b = sqrt(bb);        // b is lenght of minor (shorter) axis

    aaaabbbb = aa*aa - bb*bb;
    if (aaaabbbb >= 1e-10) {
        cc = (aa*xx - bb*yy) / aaaabbbb;
        ss = (aa*yy - bb*xx) / aaaabbbb;
        negquad = xy > 0 ? -1 : 1;
        s = sqrt(ss) * negquad;  // s and c give sine and cosine for
orientation
        c = sqrt(cc);
    } else {  // nearly round, choose arbitrary angle
        s = 1;
        c = 0;
    }
```

CLAIMS

1. A method for processing an image consisting of an array of pixels, the method comprising the steps of:

performing a scan of the array of pixels in a sequential manner, each pixel of the array being scanned once in order to provide pixel data;

identifying, using the pixel data, those pixels which contain image information of significance;

assigning each pixel containing image information of significance to one of at least one image segment, the at least one image segment including a number of contiguous pixels containing image information of significance; and,

providing an image data output including summary information pertaining to the at least one image segment.

2. The method of claim 1 wherein the step of assigning each pixel further comprises the step of determining, for each pixel containing image information of significance, whether there is at least one contiguous pixel assigned to a segment, in order to determine to which of the at least one segment the pixel shall be assigned.

3. The method of claim 2 further comprising the step of selectively merging at least two segments to form a single segment in dependence upon whether one pixel containing image information of significance is contiguous with at least two pixels each assigned to a different one of the at least two segments.

4. The method of claim 2 or claim 3 wherein the step of determining is performed using a buffer register arranged to store segment information for previously scanned pixels of the array.

5. An image processing system for processing an image consisting of an array of pixels, the system comprising:

scanning means for scanning the array of pixels in a sequential manner, the scanning means being arranged to scan each pixel of the array once in order to provide pixel data; and

processing means for processing the pixel data to identify those pixels of the array which contain image information of significance; the

processing means being further arranged to assign each pixel containing image information of significance to one of at least one image segment, the at least one image segment including a number of contiguous pixels containing image information of significance;

wherein the processing means provides a data output of summary information pertaining to the at least one image segment.

6. A computer program for processing an image consisting of an array of pixels, the program comprising:

scanning means for scanning the array of pixels in a sequential manner, the scanning means being arranged to scan each pixel of the array once in order to provide pixel data; and

processing means for processing the pixel data to identify those pixels of the array which contain image information of significance; the processing means being further arranged to assign each pixel containing image information of significance to one of at least one image segment, the at least one image segment including a number of contiguous pixels containing image information of significance;

wherein the processing means provides a data output of summary information pertaining to the at least one image segment.

7. A data carrier containing a computer program as claimed in claim 6.

8. The system of claim 5, computer program of claim 6 or carrier of claim 7 wherein the processing means determines whether each pixel containing image information of significance has at least one contiguous pixel assigned to a segment, in order to determine to which of the at least one segment the pixel shall be assigned.

9. The system, computer program or carrier of claim 8 wherein at least two segments are selectively merged to form a single segment in dependence upon whether one pixel containing image information of significance is contiguous with at least two pixels each assigned to a different one of the at least two segments.

10. The system, computer program or carrier of claim 8 or claim 9 wherein the segment information of the at least one contiguous assigned pixel is determined using a buffer register which stores segment information for the pixels of the previous line.

11. The system, computer program, carrier or method of any preceding claim wherein the at least one contiguous assigned pixel is above a pixel in the array.

12. The system, computer program, carrier or method of any preceding claim wherein the at least one contiguous assigned pixel is to the left of a pixel in the array.

13. The system, computer program, carrier or method of any preceding claim wherein a register is kept of the at least one image segment, the register including cumulative pixel value information and segment location information regarding the at least one segment.

14. The system, computer program, carrier or method of claim 13 wherein the register is further arranged to include x-axis and y-axis cumulative coordinate values of the at least one segment.

15. The system, computer program, carrier or method of claim 13 or claim 14 wherein the x-axis and y-axis cumulative coordinate values include x-axis*x-axis, x-axis*y-axis, and y-axis*y-axis summation values.

16. The system, computer program, carrier or method of any one of claim 13 to 15 wherein the addition of a pixel to one of the at least one segment includes the assimilation of coordinate values for the pixel into the register for the one of the at least one segment.

17. The system, computer program, carrier or method of claim 15 or claim 16 wherein the at least one segment comprises at least two segments, and wherein the merging of two of the at least two segments includes the assimilation of corresponding coordinate values for the two of the at least two segments into the coordinate values of a single merged segment.

18. The system, computer program, carrier or method of any one of claims 13 to 17 wherein the summation information and location information regarding the at least one segment are used after a complete scan to compute the centre and size of the at least one segment.

19. The system, computer program, carrier or method of any one of claims 13 to 18 wherein additional coordinate values are used to compute shape and orientation approximations for the at least one segment.

20. The system, computer program, carrier or method of any preceding claim wherein the image represents human computer input.

21. An image processing method substantially as described herein with reference to the accompanying drawings.

22. An image processing system substantially as described herein with reference to the accompanying drawings.

23. A computer program substantially as described herein with reference to the accompanying drawings.

ABSTRACT

IMAGE PROCESSING METHOD, SYSTEM, COMPUTER PROGRAM AND DATA CARRIER

An image processing system is arranged to process an array of pixels. The array is scanned in a sequential manner, pixel by pixel, each pixel being scanned only once. The resulting scan data is processed to identify pixels which contain image information of significance, and to assign these pixels to an image segment which may contain a number of such pixels. The system provides a data output of summary information pertaining to the segment or segments identified in the image. In this way a segmentation scan is performed which is very efficient and which produces summary information without a further processing step.



FIG. 1

```
--------
--111---
---111--
---11---
```

FIG. 2

```
---------
---222---
-33!22---
-22222---
```

FIG. 3

```
--------11-
----22--11-
-333+222#1-
-?11111111-
```

FIG. 4